

REMARKS

The Non-Final Office Action mailed March 28, 2008 considered claims 1-20, 22, 23, 25-27, 37, 39, 40, 42, 44-50, 52-56, 58-62, 64-68, 70 and 71. Claim 56 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wyman (US 5,438,508) hereinafter *Wyman*.¹

By this paper, claim 56 has been amended. It should be noted, that claim 56 has been amended to include the limitation "wherein the electronic message is a Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) envelope, and wherein the header portion is a header portion of the SOAP envelope...." Thus, as now amended, claim 56 is similar to claims that have recently been found to be allowable. In particular, the Examiner's attention is directed to claim 44 which includes a method, while claim 56 is a computer program product including modules which perform, *inter alia*, all of the acts recited by claim 44. Thus, claim 56 should be allowable for at least the same reasons that claim 44 is allowable.

In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney at 801-533-9800.

Dated this 30th day of June, 2008.

Respectfully submitted,



RICK D. NYDEGGER
Registration No. 28,651
J. LAVAR OLDHAM
Registration No. 53,409
Attorneys for Applicant
Customer No. 47973

RDN:JLO:crb
CRB0000008989V001

¹ Although the prior art status of the cited art is not being challenged at this time, Applicant reserves the right to challenge the prior art status of the cited art at any appropriate time, should it arise. Accordingly, any arguments and amendments made herein should not be construed as acquiescing to any prior art status of the cited art.